

Larger families are less likely to achieve universal long-lasting insecticidal nets coverage in Ethiopia

Misganu Endriyas (MSc) – Hawassa University and SNNPR Health Bureau

Tarekegn Solomon (PhD) – Hawassa University

Taye Gari (PhD) – Hawassa University

Teka Samuel (MPH) – Hawassa University and Sidama Regional Health Bureau

Bernt Lindtjørn (Prof) – Hawassa University

April 28, 2023
Hawassa ,Ethiopia

Background

- In a population with a high risk of malaria infection, using long-lasting insecticidal nets (LLIN) is an essential malaria prevention method (*WHO, 2017; Kebede et al, 2021*).
- Ethiopia has been distributing LLINs for free.
- However, ensuring sufficient access and use of LLINs requires close monitoring and evaluation (*Koenker et al, 2018*).
 - Addressing access is presumptive to LLIN use (*Kebede et al, 2021*).
- Hence, this study assessed ownership of LLINs in the Sidama Region, Southern Ethiopia, to contribute to malaria control efforts.

Objective

- To investigate the ownership of LLINs in the Sidama Region

Methods

- A community-based cross-sectional study was conducted in February and March 2023.
- The data used for this study was from a malaria prevalence survey in two districts (Boricha and Bilate Zuria)
- Multi-stage cluster sampling was used to select representative households.
 - Two districts, nine kebeles, 55 development teams, all households

Methods cont'd...

- Sample size for the malaria prevalence survey was estimated using single population formula:
 - Prevalence of malaria measured by Rapid Diagnostic Tests (RDT) = 1.17% (*Nega et al., 2021*).
 - 95% confidence level, 0.3% precision, and a design effect of 1.5
 - The final sample size was estimated to be 8105 individuals including 10% no response rate.
 - Considering a family size of 5 people per household, **1621** households will be included in the study.

Methods cont'd...

- We estimated LLIN coverage in terms of:
 - WHO's universal LLIN coverage (at least one LLIN for every two people) (*WHO, 2017*)
 - National LLIN targets aim at 100% LLIN coverage of the at-risk population, with one LLIN per sleeping space (*FMOH, 2017*).
- In addition, the LLIN distribution depends on the family size:
 - One LLIN for a family size of 1-2, two LLINs for a family size of 3-5, three for 6-7 and four for eight or more family members (*FMOH, 2017*).
- Ethical considerations: IRB of HU (IRB/087/14)
 - Support letters from the Sidama Regional Public Health Institute (Ref: DFI/7895/1)

Results and discussions

- A total of 1647 households were included in the study.
- Most households were led by males (89%), farmers (63%) and persons who were unable to read and write (55%).

Results and discussions

- The ownership of at least one LLIN per household was 85% (95% CI 83.5 – 86.9%; 1405 of 1647 households).
 - Indicates the reach of LLIN to the community
 - Recent distribution (both districts distributed 100% as per their plan from Nov 2022 to Jan 2023).
- About two-thirds (66%) of households had at least one LLIN for every sleeping space, and about half (49%) had the required LLIN per family size.
 - But only 35.9% had required LLIN as per family size according to 2022 guidelines (seven or more receive four LLINs)

Results and discussions cont'd...

- Only 36% of households had universal access to LLIN.
- Moreover, only 33% of households with children under five years of age or pregnant women had universal access to LLIN.
- Homes with larger families (Adjusted Odds Ratio (AOR): 8.1 [6.3, 10.6]) and female-headed households (AOR: 3.1 [1.5, 6.4]) were more likely to have unmet universal LLIN coverage.

Results and discussions cont'd...

Table 1: LLIN distribution guide as per family size, malaria guideline, 2017

Family Size	Number of LLINs to be supplied
One to two	One
Three to four	Two
Five to six	Three
Eight or more	Four

8 vs 12 members

- **Fairness/Equity?**

Results and discussions cont'd...

- Higher coverage is required when mosquitoes resist insecticides (*Ngonghalaa et al, 2020*)
- When only some members of households are covered, mosquitos could bite the persons not using ITNs and thus increasing the risk of infection
 - “Diversion effect” (*Xu et al, 2015*).

Limitation of the study

- We should have assessed the quality of the LLIN.
- The study limited to two woredas
- We used a cross-sectional design

Conclusion

- We conclude that the LLIN coverage was low compared to WHO-recommended universal LLIN coverage and national LLIN targets per sleeping space and family size.
 - May not yield its intended benefits.
- The National LLINs distribution standard should consider additional LLIN for larger families.
- Thus, there is a need to distribute more bed nets

Acknowledgements

- **Source of funding:** Government of Norway through the Norwegian Programme for Capacity Development in Higher Education and Research for Development (NORHED) South Ethiopia Network Universities in Public Health (SENUPH-II).
- We thank Hawassa University and Ministry of Health (Sidama Regional Health Bureau, Ethiopian Pharmaceuticals Supply Service (EPSS) Hawassa branch and SNNPR Health Bureau) for their collaborative works and supplies

Thanks!